



Teachingninja.in



Latest Govt Job updates



Private Job updates



Free Mock tests available

Visit - teachingninja.in



KHC Civil Judge

Previous Year Paper

Mains (Translation) 30 Sept, 2021

HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಉಚ್ಚ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯ

CIVIL JUDGE MAIN WRITTEN EXAMINATION 2021

ಸಿವಿಲ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಕರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಲಿಖಿತ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ 2021

TRANSLATION PAPER

ಭಾಷಾಂತರ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ

Date: 30.09.2021
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 30.09.2021

Max Marks: 100
ಗರಿಷ್ಟ ಅಂಕಗಳು : 100

Time: 10.00 AM to 1.00 PM
ಸಮಯ: ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10.00 ರಿಂದ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1.00 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ

Instructions:

ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು:

Write your register number only on the cover page in the space provided in the answer book and nowhere else. You shall not write your name or make any kind of marks disclosing your identity on any part of your answer book or additional answer book. Contravention of the above instruction will entail disqualification.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ಉತ್ತರ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಕವರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಒದಗಿಸಿದ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು, ಬೇರೆ ಎಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗುರುತನ್ನು ಬಹಿರಂಗಪಡಿಸುವಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಾಗಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಾಗಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬಾರದು ಅಥವಾ ಯಾವುದೇ ಗುರುತನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬಾರದು. ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ಸೂಚನೆ ಉಲ್ಲಂಘಿಸಿದರೆ ನೀವು ಅನಹರ್ತಗೆ ಗುರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರಿ.

1. Translate the following deposition to Kannada language :

ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಗೆ ಅನುವಾದಿಸಿ:

Marks: 15
ಅಂಕಗಳು: 15

Duly sworn on 01-02-2016.

Cross-examination by the counsel for the plaintiff:

I know the facts of this case. It is true to suggest that property belong to the school is situated on the Northern side of the suit property. It is true to suggest that in Ex.D.1 plaintiff's property is shown as tiled house and its measurement is shown

as 70 X 30 feet. The witness voluntarily said that plaintiff does not own the property of said measurement. It is true to suggest that school authorities have no right over the property of the plaintiff shown in Ex.D.1. When it is suggested to the witness that suit property is the property shown in Ex.D.1, which is in the name of the plaintiff, witness said that plaintiff has encroached the property belonging to the school. There are documents to show that plaintiff has encroached the property of the school. I can produce said document before this court. I do not know that the plaintiff had purchased the suit property as per Ex.P.12 Sale deed.

It is true to suggest that on the basis Ex.P.12 Sale deed, entries in Ex.D.1 were made. I say that plaintiff has encroached the property of the school during the year 1996 and constructed a room in the encroached area. I say that we have filed the suit for removal of said encroachment. I cannot say the particulars of the suit, which is filed for removal of the encroachment made by the plaintiff. I do not know as to whether said suit is still pending or it has been already disposed. I do not know that in the written statement filed on behalf of the school, we have not pleaded about alleged encroachment made by plaintiff and we filing the suit to remove the encroachment. When it was suggested to the witness that we have no right over the suit property shown in the plaint schedule, witness said that the suit property has not been correctly shown.

2. Translate the following Passage as extracted from a Judgment to Kannada language: Marks: 15

ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಶೈಲಿನ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಗೆ ಅನುವಾದಿಸಿ.

ಅಂಕಗಳು: 15

There is no dispute about the occurrence of the accident. But, there is dispute about the manner in which accident took place. There is no dispute about identity of the accused No.1 and 2 as the driver and conductor respectively of the private bus involved in the accident. There is also no dispute about CW2 sustaining grievous injuries due to the accident. The wound certificate relating to CW2 is marked with consent as per Ex.P2. The accused have not claimed that there was any mechanical defect in the private bus involved in the accident. The report of the Inspector of Motor Vehicle is also marked with consent as per Ex.P3, which shows that there is no mechanical defect in the offending bus. In view of all these admitted and undisputed facts, only question to be determined by this court is as to whether the accident was due to the negligence of these accused.

As per the prosecution, accident occurred at Kanakapura Bus Stand when CW2 was getting down from the bus and accused No.2, being the conductor of the bus, gave the signal negligently to move the bus and accused No.1 moved the bus suddenly, due to which, CW2 fallen down and sustained injuries. CW1, being the complainant and eye witness and CW2, being the injured, are the material witnesses in this case. Both these witnesses, who were examined as PW3 and 4 respectively, deposed about the accident and CW2 sustaining injuries. But, both these witnesses have not said that the accident was due to the negligence of these accused. According to me, both these material witnesses not supporting the prosecution is a huge set back to the prosecution.

3. Translate the following document to Kannada language

Marks: 20

ಕು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ದಸ್ತಾವೇಜನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಗೆ ಅನುವಾದಿಸಿ:

ಅಂತರಾಳ: 20

AGREEMENT FOR SALE

This agreement for sale of immovable property made on this 25th day of May 2020 between

Mr. Ajith Bhandage, Aged about 48 years, S/o Lakshmana Bhandage, Resident of Bhandage Layout, Neharu Colony, Shapura Road, Kalaburagi (herein after referred to as the FIRST PARTY)

AND

Mrs. Sulochana Hiremath, Aged about 32 years W/o Virupakshaiah Hiremath, Resident of Akshaya Apartment, Bagalkot Road, Bijapur (herein after referred to as the SECOND PARTY)

WHEREAS,

That the 1st party is the absolute owner of the immovable property described in the schedule herein below, by mutation of revenue records in his name. The 1st party acquired absolute right over the schedule property as per the final decree dated 28.08.2015 passed in O.S. No.223/2013 on the file of Court of the Principal Senior Civil Judge, Kalaburagi. 1st party has agreed to sell and 2nd party has agreed to purchase the schedule property subject to the terms and conditions mentioned here-in-below.

1. The total sale consideration agreed for sale of the schedule property is at Rs.12,00,000/- (Rupees Twelve Lakhs)
2. The 2nd party has paid as sum of Rs.4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakh) as sale advance and the 1st party acknowledges the receipt of Rs.4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakh) from the 2nd party towards part of sale consideration.
3. The balance sale consideration of Rs.8,00,000/- (Rupees Eight Lakh) shall be paid by the 2nd party to the 1st party

within 4 months i.e., at the time of execution and registration of sale deed.

4. The 1st party shall execute sale deed in favour of the 2nd party or her nominees within 4 months from this day by receiving the balance sale consideration.
5. The 2nd party shall bear all the expenses towards purchase of stamp paper, registration charges and all other incidental expenses for registration of the sale deed.
6. The 1st party assures that schedule property is free from any charges, encumbrance, liability, court attachments or injunctions.
7. The 1st party shall not create any charge over the schedule property and cause any damage to the said property.
8. In the event of default by the 1st party, 2nd party shall have the right to approach the court for specific performance.
9. In the event of default by the 2nd party, 1st party shall be entitle for appropriate damages and compensation from the 2nd party.
10. The expression 1st party and 2nd party shall also include their respective legal heirs, representatives and assignees.

SCHEDULE

DESCRIPTION OF IMMOVABLE PROPERTY

2 acre 38 guntas of agricultural land in Survey No.428/2 of Kalaburagi Kasaba Village, within the jurisdiction of Kalaburagi Registration Sub-district.

In witness whereof the parties have signed this agreement on this the 25th day of May 2020 at Kalaburagi.

1st Party

2nd Party

4. Translate the following deposition to English language :

Marks: 15

ఈ కెళకండ సాఫ్ట్ హేలికెయన్స్ ఆంగ్ భాషగే అనువాదిసి.

అంకగళు: 15

నాను పోలీసరిగె దూరు కొడువ కాలక్కే సంజే 4.45 రింద 5.00 గంటయాగిత్తు. నిచి-1 దూరినల్లి అపఫూతపాగువ కాలక్కే నాను సుమారు 100 అడి హింభాగదల్లి కారినల్లి బరుత్తిద్దే ఎందు హేలిరువుదిల్ల. నాను గోవిందప్ప మత్తు హరీశానన్న అవరు సిద్ధనహళ్లయింద అవరు హోరడువ కాలక్కే మాతనాడిసిద్దేను. ఆగ సమయ మధ్యాహ్న 3 గంటయాగిత్తు. సిద్ధనహళ్లయింద అపఫూత నడేద స్థల సుమారు 16 కి.మీ. దూరదల్లిదే. సిద్ధనహళ్లయింద ననగింత మోదలు గోవిందప్పనవరు హోరటిద్దరు. బేలూరనింద చిక్కమగళూరిగె బరువ రస్తేయల్లి మోదలు మాగడి కేరే ఏరి సిగుత్తదేందరే నిజ. మాగడి ఖారినింద మాగడి కేరే కడేగే హోగువ రస్తేయల్లి మోదలు ఒందు దొడ్డ తిరువు సిగుత్తదేందరే నిజ. ఆ తిరువిన నంతర సుమారు అధ్య కి.మీ. దూరదల్లి మునః బిలభాగక్కే ఒందు దొడ్డ తిరువు ఇదే ఎన్నపుదు నిజ. కేరే ఏరియ ఎరడూ కడేగూ కూడా కల్లు కంబగళన్న నెట్టిద్దారే ఎన్నపుదు నిజ. కేరే ఏరియ మోతో రస్తే నేరపాగిల్ల మత్తు తిరువుగళింద కూడిదే ఎన్నపుదు నిజ. కేరే ఏరియ మేలే రస్తేయ ఎరడూ పక్కక్కే ఇరువ కల్లుకంబగళ నడువిన అంతర సుమారు 18 అడి ఇదే ఎందరే సాఫ్ట్ ఇరఱబముదు ఎన్నత్తారే. అల్లి టారు రస్తే సుమారు 12 అడి ఆగల ఇదే ఎందరే సాఫ్ట్ అదు ననగే గోత్తిల్ల ఎన్నత్తారే. సేతువే బళి రస్తేయ అగల ఇన్నూ చిక్కిద్దాగి ఇదే ఎన్నపుదు నిజవల్ల. సాఫ్టీగే ఈగ ఒందు ఘోటోవన్న తోరిసి అదు అపఫూత నడేద స్థలదల్లిరువ సేతువే ఎన్నపుదన్న సాఫ్ట్ ఒప్పికోళ్లత్తారే. ఆ ఘోటోవన్న నిచి-1 ఎందు గురుతిసలాగిదే. ఆ ఘోటోవదల్లి కాణిసువంతె సేతువే ఇరువ కడే రస్తేయ ఎరడు కడే ఇరువ కట్టే రస్తేయ ఒళభాగదల్లిదే ఎన్నపుదు నిజ. సేతువేయ మేలే ఇరువ కట్టేయింద కట్టేయ నడువిన ఆగల సుమారు 10 రింద 12 అడి ఆగల ఎన్నపుదు ననగే గోత్తిల్ల. అపఫూతపాగువ మోదలు నాను ముంభాగదింద కే.ఎసో.ఆరో.టి.సి. బస్సు బరుత్తిరువుదన్న నోడిరల్ల. ఆ బస్సు ఎష్టు వేగదింద బరుత్తిత్తు ఎందు ననగే హేళలాగువుదిల్ల.

5. Translate the following passage as extracted from a judgment to English language: Marks :15

ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಅಂಗ್ಲ ಭಾಷೆಗೆ ಅನುವಾದಿಸಿ:

ಅಂತರ್ಗಳು: 15

ವಿವಾದಾಂಶ ನಂ.3

ಈ ವಿವಾದಾಂಶವು ಕರಾರಿನ ತನ್ನ ಪಾಲಿನ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ವಾದಿಯು ಸಿದ್ಧನಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಉಳ್ಳವನಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿದೆ. ವಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯರ ನಡುವಿನ ವ್ಯವಹಾರವು ಒಂದು ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಎಂದು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಅದೊಂದು ನೇಜ ಖರೀದಿ ವ್ಯವಹಾರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಕರಾರಿನ ತನ್ನ ಪಾಲಿನ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ವಾದಿಯು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಸಿದ್ಧನಿದ್ದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಉಳ್ಳವನಾಗಿದ್ದ ಎನ್ನುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸುವುದು ಉಧ್ಬವವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೂ ಈಡ ದಿವಾಣಿ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯಾ ಸಂಹಿತೆಯ ಕ್ರಮಾದೇಶ 14 ನಿಯಮ 2ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಂತಿಮ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುವಾಗ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿವಾದಾಂಶಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ತನ್ನ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ಕರ್ತವ್ಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ವಾದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯರ ನಡುವಿನ ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಒಂದು ಖರೀದಿ ವ್ಯವಹಾರ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಶಾನೆ ಪಿ.4 ಮತ್ತು 5 ನೇಜ ಖರೀದಿ ಕರಾರು ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಿ ನಾನು ಈ ವಿವಾದಾಂಶಕ್ಕೆ ನನ್ನ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 1963ರ ಕಲಂ 16ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಪಾಲನೆಯ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ವಾದಿಯು ತಾನು ಕರಾರಿನ ತನ್ನ ಪಾಲಿನ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಸಿದ್ಧನಿದ್ದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇಚ್ಛೆ ಉಳ್ಳವನಾಗಿದ್ದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಿಸಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ದಾಖಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಾದಿಯು ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿದ ಖರೀದಿಯ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯರಿಂದ ಖರೀದಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಎಂದು ತೋರಿಸಲು ಯಾವುದೇ ಸಾಫ್ತೀ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಮೊದಲನೇ ಖರೀದಿ ಕರಾರು ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಖರೀದಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕರಾರಿನ ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಈಡ ಆ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳ ಅವಧಿ ಮುಗಿದ ಬಳಿಕವು ಈಡ ವಾದಿಯು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯರಿಂದ ಖರೀದಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ವಾದಿಯೇ ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿದ ಖರೀದಿಯ ಹಣವಾದ ರೂ.22,00,000/-ವನ್ನು ಮೊದಲನೇ ಖರೀದಿ ಕರಾರು ಪತ್ರ ಆದ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳ ಒಳಗಡೆ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ವಾದಿಯು ಬಾಕಿ ಉಳಿದ ಖರೀದಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆ ತಾರೀಖಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂತಿನ ಮೂಲಕ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಈಡ ಯಾವತ್ತೂ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯರಿಂದ ಖರೀದಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುವ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

6. Translate the following document to English language:

Marks: 20

ಅಂಕಗಳು: 20

ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಕರಾರು

ಸನ್ ಎರಡು ಸಾವಿರದ ಹತ್ತೊಂಬತ್ತನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ಮಾಹ ತಾರಿಖು 17ರಂದು
ಮೈಸೂರು ನಗರ ಕುವೆಂಪು ಬಡಾವಣೆಯ 18ನೇ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತಾನ ಮನೆ ನಂ.23ರಲ್ಲಿ ವಾಸವಿರುವ
ದಿವಂಗತ ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಮಗ ಸುಮಾರು 54 ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸಿನ ನಾರಾಯಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ
(ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರನು ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಲ್ಪಡುವವರು)

ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಪಿರಿಯಾಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕಣಗಾಲು ಗ್ರಾಮದ ವಾಸಿ ರಂಗೇಗೌಡರ ಮಗ
ಸುಮಾರು 45 ವರ್ಷ ವಯಸ್ಸಿನ ಸುರೇಶ್ (ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಎಂದು
ಕರೆಯಲ್ಪಡುವವರು)

ಆದ ನಾವುಗಳು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಕರಾರು.

ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷಣೆಯ 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿದ ವಾಸದ ಮನೆಯ
ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಮಾಲೀಕರಾಗಿ ಅದರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದು ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಅದು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡ
ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಲ್ಪಡುತ್ತದೆ.

ನಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷಣೆಯ 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಅವರ ವಾಸಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬೇಕು ಎಂದು
ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಿಂದ 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 1.10.2019 ರಿಂದ 31.08.2020 (11 ತಿಂಗಳವರೆಗೆ)
ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕರಾರಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟಿ ಬಾಡಿಗೆಗೆ ಹೊಡಲು ಒಷ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

- 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಅವರ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉಪಯೋಗ
ಮತ್ತು ಅನುಭವಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಬಾಡಿಗೆಗೆ ಹೊಟ್ಟಿ 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಆ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನವನ್ನು
ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
- 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಪ್ರತಿ ತಿಂಗಳ ಕೊನೆಯಂದು ಅಥವಾ ಅದರ ಮೊದಲು ತಿಂಗಳ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ
ರೂ.25,000/- (ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೆಂದು ಸಾವಿರ ಮಾತ್ರ) 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಪಾವತಿ
ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

3. 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಮುಂಗಡ ಬಾಡಿಗೆಯಾಗಿ ರೂ.2,00,000/- (ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಎರಡು ಲಕ್ಷ)ವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಅದನ್ನು 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಖಾಲಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಬಡ್ಡಿರಹಿತವಾಗಿ ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
4. 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಮುಂಗಡ ಬಾಡಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಮರುಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರಿಂದ ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುವ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಹಣ, ನಷ್ಟ ಪರಿಹಾರ, ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಶುಲ್ಕ ಮತ್ತು ನೀರಿನ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಕಡಿತಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
5. 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾನಿಗೊಳಿಸದೆ ಸುಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
6. 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ವಿದ್ಯಾತ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ನೀರಿನ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
7. 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ನಗರ ಸಭೆಯ ತೆರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ತಿಂಗಳ ನಿರ್ವಾಹಣೆ ಶುಲ್ಕವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.
8. 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಮರು ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವಂತಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರೊಳಗೆ 3ನೇ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸುವಂತಿಲ್ಲ.
9. 2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಅವಧಿ ಮುಗಿದ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಖಾಲಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಅದರ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನವನ್ನು 1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್

ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ವಿವರಣೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು ನಗರ ಭೋಗಾದಿ ರಸ್ತೆಯ 18ನೇ ಕ್ರಸನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣ ವಸತಿ ಸಂಕೀರ್ಣದ ನಾಲ್ಕನೇ ಮಹಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಮನೆ ನಂ.407ರ ವಾಸದ ಮನೆ.

1ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು

2ನೇ ಪಕ್ಷಗಾರರು

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು:

1.

2.
